

Zoning Enforcement

JAMES A. COON LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL SERIES

A Division of the New York Department of State

Andrew M. Cuomo, Governor

Rossana Rosado, Secretary of State

This publication is a result of a cooperative effort by the following:



JEFFERSON COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT 175 ARSENAL STREET WATERTOWN, NY 13601

MARK GEBO, ESQ. HRABCHAK AND GEBO, P.C. ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW WATERTOWN, NEW YORK



TUG HILL COMMISSION DULLES STATE OFFICE BUILDING 317 WASHINGTON STREET WATERTOWN, NY 13601-3782 www.tughill.org

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF STATE 99 WASHINGTON AVENUE ALBANY, NEW YORK 12231-0001 http://www.dos.ny.gov

> Revised 2008 Reprint Date: 2012

Table of Contents

Preface	
Introduction	1
I. Local Boards and Officers	
The Legislative Body	1
The Zoning Enforcement Officer	2
The Zoning Board of Appeals	2
The Planning Board	3
The Zoning Commission	3
•	
II. Zoning Enforcement Process	
Step 1: Report of Violation	3
Step 2: Investigation	4
Step 3: Notification to Remedy Violations and Administrative A	actions4
Step 4: The Respondent's Alternatives	5
Step 5: Judicial Enforcement	
Criminal Proceedings	6
Civil Proceedings	8
<u> </u>	
Conclusion	g
Appendix 1: Complaint Form	10
Appendix 2: Inspection Report Form	11
Appendix 3: Enforcement Checklist	13
Appendix 4: Proof Chart Form	
Appendix 5: Initial Follow-up Letter of Citizen Complaint	16
Appendix 6: Final Follow-up Letter of Citizen Complaint	17
Appendix 7A: Administrative Search Warrant Application	18
Appendix 7B: Administrative Search Warrant Affidavit	21
Appendix 7C: Administrative Search Warrant	
Appendix 7D: Administrative Search Warrant Return	
Appendix 7E: Administrative Search Warrant – Directions for Fil	ling26
Appendix 8: Letter of Violation	
Appendix 9: Notice of Violation Form	
Appendix 10: Stop Work Form	
Appendix 11: Appearance Ticket Form	
Appendix 12: Criminal Summons	
Appendix 13: Information and Complaint Form	
Endnotes	

PREFACE

This publication was originally produced in 1991. It was updated in 1994 by the Jefferson County Planning Department in cooperation with the New York State Tug Hill Commission and the former St. Lawrence-Eastern Ontario Commission. It was updated in 1996 by Mark Gebo, Esq., partner in Hrabchak & Gebo, P.C., Attorneys and Counselors at Law in Watertown, New York, and in 1998 by the New York State Department of State.

INTRODUCTION

This publication discusses the zoning enforcement process, including options for enforcement, along with the laws that authorize enforcement in the towns and villages of New York State. It does not contain specific information regarding zoning enforcement in cities, as those procedures are more appropriately referenced within individual city charters.

Section I describes the roles of various key entities including the zoning enforcement officer. It is critically important that the enforcement official understand the responsibilities of these boards. This is only a summary; for more extensive treatment of the responsibilities of these entities, the reader should consult other publications in this Technical Series. Section II outlines the steps involved in the zoning enforcement process, referencing state enabling statutes. The actual process varies among municipalities; one should always, therefore, consult local laws and officials for more specific local procedural guidance. The Appendix provides useful sample forms for local adoption.

Land use legislation may be enacted by ordinance in towns, as well as by local law in both towns and villages. For simplicity, we will refer to all such legislation as the local "zoning law".

LOCAL BOARDS AND OFFICERS

<u>The Legislative Body</u> – The legislative body (town board or village board of trustees) plays a major role in zoning administration and enforcement. It appoints members of the planning board,¹ the zoning commission (applicable in towns and villages),² the zoning board of appeals (ZBA),³ and the zoning enforcement officer (ZEO).⁴ The legislative body must approve any bylaws, rules and regulations that govern these boards and officials, as well as any procedures to be used in administering the law. Though other boards may write initial drafts of, or advise on, various land use laws and procedures, only the legislative body may enact them into law.

The legislative body has the option to enact site plan review and special permit approval.⁵ It may choose either to perform site plan review and/or special use permit approval directly, or instead delegate one or both of these procedures to an appointed board such as the planning board or zoning board of appeals. The power to approve subdivisions can, however, only be delegated to the planning board.⁶ The legislative body typically delegates zoning enforcement authority to its ZEO.

By delegating some of the above mentioned powers to other boards and officials, the legislative body frees up time for its other numerous responsibilities. This is a good idea, but it is important to remember that once these powers have been delegated, the legislative body cannot overrule a decision made by the ZBA, ZEO, or planning board. It may appeal such a decision, as may any other concerned citizen, but it cannot simply overrule it.

The Zoning Enforcement Officer (ZEO) – The ZEO is the municipality's representative in land use regulation and enforcement, and should be the primary contact for all applicants. His/her major duties usually are: to prepare or acquire forms necessary to properly administer the zoning law; issue zoning permits; conduct inspections and investigations; issue a zoning certificate of compliance or occupancy; maintain records of all administrative actions and papers; and enforce the zoning law through the various methods discussed in this document.

The actual powers and duties of the position will vary among municipalities. They must be clearly spelled out in either the local zoning law or a separate ordinance or law providing for the enforcement of all zoning and land use laws.

The ZEO's power is limited to enforcement of the law as it is written. The ZEO has no power to modify or waive the zoning regulations even if s/he disagrees with a requirement of the regulation. When the ZEO finds that certain requirements of the zoning are inappropriate and consistently create problems for applicants, then s/he can and should propose an amendment to the legislative body. The legislative body is the only board that has the authority to make a zoning amendment, and this power cannot be delegated. The ZEO must deny a permit whenever s/he is in doubt about a project's legality, or about how the zoning law applies to the particular case. An applicant who is denied a permit can appeal to the ZBA for an interpretation of the zoning regulation, or for a variance.⁷

The ZEO must pursue all violations of the law without undue bias for or against a particular person, otherwise s/he will open himself or herself to lawsuits for discriminatory enforcement.⁸

Enforcement action can be taken whenever a zoning law or ordinance is violated, or a condition attached to an approval granted by a municipal board is not met. While the landowner can always be held responsible for a violation on his/her property, enforcement action may also be taken against other responsible parties, such as tenants and building contractors.

<u>The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA)</u> – The enabling statutes provide that the legislative body must appoint a ZBA whenever it initially adopts a zoning law. The basic powers of the ZBA fall into two areas: original jurisdiction and appellate jurisdiction. Or

Appellate (or appeals) jurisdiction allows an "aggrieved party" to bring an appeal of a decision of the ZEO to the ZBA for review. "Aggrieved parties" may include: the recipient of an enforcement action; an unsuccessful permit applicant; or a third party.

There are two types of appeals: an appeal seeking an interpretation of the zoning law; and an appeal seeking a zoning variance. An appeal for an interpretation simply claims that the ZEO's decision was incorrect under the zoning law, and requests the ZBA to overturn the decision. An

appeal for a variance, which can only be made by an owner following a ZEO's enforcement action or permit denial, claims that special circumstances warrant the ZBA to vary the strict terms of the zoning law.

<u>The Planning Board</u> – The planning board has an advisory role and must be aware of community needs and goals. It is concerned both with development and with the formulation of growth policies. The planning board usually advises other local boards on matters that affect the community's development.¹¹

A planning board's powers exist solely at the legislative body's discretion. It can be given review and approval authority for special permits, subdivisions, site plans, and other land use-related laws, such as a historic district law. When given such jurisdiction, the legislative body should adopt appropriate regulations to govern the planning board's procedures. To carry out its duties, the planning board, on referral, may assist in the preparation of a comprehensive plan for development, ¹² and make investigations, maps, reports, and recommendations dealing with local planning and development.

<u>The Zoning Commission</u> – State law provides that the legislative body must appoint a zoning commission to draft the community's initial zoning law.¹³ If it so desires, the legislative body may appoint the existing planning board as its zoning commission. If, however, a separate zoning commission is created, it will cease to exist after the legislative body, by resolution, accepts the commission's final report and draft zoning law.

ZONING ENFORCEMENT PROCESS

<u>Step 1: Report of Violation</u> – The zoning enforcement process begins when the ZEO becomes aware of a violation. The ZEO may discover the violation himself or herself, or it may be reported to the ZEO by a municipal official or local resident. To improve the effectiveness of citizen involvement, every local government should have an established system for taking citizen complaints. Ideally, such a system should provide that anyone may file a citizen complaint form (see Appendix 1) setting forth information about the supposed violation. If possible, the municipality should allow the citizen to file this form electronically, and to receive a response in the same manner. The form should be filed at a central location (probably the town or village clerk's office) that is well publicized.

Should an oral, perhaps anonymous, complaint, trigger an enforcement investigation? Ordinarily, such complaints should be taken seriously and investigated, whether or not the complainant has identified him/herself.

Every system of receiving citizen complaints should include follow-up. The best approach is an immediate follow-up letter (or electronic response) (see Appendix 5) that tells the citizen the complaint has been received and will be investigated as soon as possible. Barring other priorities, a good rule of thumb is that the ZEO should proceed to investigate every citizen complaint within 24 to 48 hours.

In addition to the immediate follow-up letter, the ZEO should forward a subsequent letter to the complainant (see Appendix 6) explaining the final results of the enforcement action. If no action was taken, the ZEO should explain why.

<u>Step 2: Investigation</u> – Once a possible violation has been observed or reported, the ZEO is empowered to investigate the matter, make a preliminary determination of whether or not it constitutes a violation, and take the appropriate action in the enforcement process. While some older state statutes directly grant officials the power to enter into buildings to make inspections, those statutes do not supersede constitutional protections against unreasonable search. Without the owner's consent in a non-emergency situation, a search warrant (see Appendix 7A) is required before the ZEO may lawfully enter onto any premises that the general public is not already invited to enter. All municipalities should, therefore, adopt provisions generally authorizing their ZEO to enter onto all premises, public or private, "consistent with constitutional safeguards and any requisite warrant", in order to effectuate enforcement. When the ZEO is in doubt about the legality of entering private property against an owner's will, the best advice is that s/he consult with the municipal attorney before entering the property.

Any investigation should be logged by the ZEO using an Enforcement Inspection Report (see Appendix 2) regardless of the results. This creates a record of initial inspection, and the report can be referred to for further questions or issues involving the project or matter.

<u>Step 3: Notification To Remedy Violations; Administrative Actions</u> – If a zoning violation does exist, then enforcement steps must be taken. The first step is to inform the property owner of the violation so that it can be corrected. Often, zoning violations are an oversight on the owner's part, and simply advising him/her of the violation will resolve the matter. There are a variety of ways that a violator can be notified, and generally the situation will dictate the most appropriate method.

Usually the first attempt at notification is informal contact with the property owner to explain the violation and the potential of enforcement. The ZEO should keep a record of the contact and the results. If the owner is hard to contact, then it is advisable that a letter requesting voluntary compliance be forwarded to the property owner (see Appendix 8). The letter should clearly identify the violation and state that "...This is the only letter you will receive. If you do not correct the violation by [DATE] or contact this office and make arrangements for an extension of time, we will begin enforcement action...." It is very important that this letter be sent by certified or registered mail with a return receipt requested. This will insure that the owner receives it and will provide proof that it was received.

Many local governments require that even informal contact with landowners be documented. While a "Notice of Apparent Violation" looks formal, it does not have any great legal significance. It is simply a way to inform the landowner that s/he may be in violation of the zoning law and that s/he needs to abate or correct the violation. The landowner can be given the opportunity to discuss the case with the enforcement officer. A second, more formal letter, a "Notice of Violation - Order to Remedy" (see Appendix 9) amounts to a determination by the ZEO that a violation exists, and directs the landowner to take specific corrective action.

There are four possible administrative actions that can and should be used in conjunction with notification to prevent the landowner from continuing the violation. The first is revocation or suspension of permits directly related to the questionable activity. Second, any new permits or certificates related to the same work should be denied from the date on which the violation is first determined to exist until the matter resolved. Third, a Stop Work Order (see Appendix 10) should be issued in conjunction with such revocations/suspensions, to prevent any expansion of possibly illegal construction activities (as well as to prevent possibly fruitless additional investment by the owner) until the matter is resolved. A Stop Work Order on construction projects must be authorized in the zoning law itself. Finally, a Cease and Desist Order may be issued to halt a continuing violation other than that related to construction.

It is extremely important that the ZEO keep a record of any written administrative actions, as well as other enforcement actions that go beyond informal contact. Please consult Appendix 3 for a suggested Enforcement Action Checklist that can be used to keep track of such record-keeping. The legislative body may also want to develop a system of regular communication, whereby it is kept informed by the ZEO of all zoning violations and the administrative steps taken to remedy them.

<u>Step 4: The Respondent's Alternatives</u> – Ideally, upon being notified of the violation the respondent will revise her/his plans and actions to conform with the zoning law. But, if s/he is dissatisfied with that approach, s/he has alternatives for seeking relief: s/he can appeal the ZEO's decision and apply to the ZBA for a zoning interpretation or variance; or s/he could ask the legislative body for a zoning amendment. These alternatives provide a method for respondents to obtain relief from unreasonable restrictions of the zoning law.

The ZBA's primary function under law is to hear appeals and grant relief (if appropriate) from the strict application of the zoning law. The respondent initiates an appeal by filing a Notice of Appeal to the ZBA. Any appeal asks the ZBA to overturn the ZEO's action, but, depending on the stance the respondent takes, the ZBA will have either of two tasks: interpretation or variance. First, the respondent may believe the ZEO's action incorrectly applies the zoning law. Even a well written zoning law has provisions that are open to interpretation. In that instance, the respondent appeals presenting a case for an interpretation in his/her favor. If the ZBA agrees with the respondent, it overturns the ZEO's decision, finds in favor of the respondent, and issues an appropriate order. It should be noted that *only* the ZBA can definitively interpret the zoning law. Neither the legislative body nor any other officer or body has that jurisdiction.

Whenever the ZBA makes a determination that interprets a provision of the zoning law, the determination should serve as precedent for any future actions involving that particular provision, until and unless the legislative body amends the provision. ¹⁶ Importantly, the ZEO should consider him/herself to be governed by the determination in any future enforcement actions that involve that same provision.

The second broad area of appeal involves the appeal for a variance. Here, the respondent-almost always, in this case, the owner--may agree that the law was correctly applied, but believes that the property is beset by special circumstances that warrant the grant of a variance to the strict application of the law. There are two types of variance, use and area.¹⁷ A use variance

involves a request for a use of land that is prohibited by the zoning law and requires proof of "unnecessary hardship." An area variance is a request to vary the dimensional standards, such as lot size, setbacks, sign area, or number of parking spaces. The test for an area variance does not require the owner to show hardship. Instead, the ZBA is required to engage in a "balancing of interests."

Whenever any appeal is taken of the ZEO's enforcement action, the appeal places into effect a *stay* against further enforcement.¹⁸ This means that, from the date the Notice of Appeal is filed until the date the ZBA renders its determination, the municipality is prohibited from taking any further enforcement action. During such time the local justice court cannot hear or adjudicate the alleged violation, and the ZEO may not issue any further orders or appearance tickets. The stay may, however, be lifted in cases of imminent peril to life or property. To lift the stay, the ZEO must file a certificate of imminent peril with the ZBA.

Finally, the landowner may wish to apply for a zoning amendment. An amendment constitutes legislative relief, and can therefore only be approved by the legislative body (town or village board). Although the legislative body is not required to apply the tests that the ZBA must apply for a variance, any amendment that it adopts must be consistent with the comprehensive plan. A public hearing must be held before formal adoption of a zoning amendment.¹⁹

These alternatives are available to provide local relief from zoning restrictions as they may be applied by the enforcement officer. If the requested relief is denied, then the landowner must abide by the determination (which may include abating the violation) or appeal to the Supreme Court for judicial relief. If the owner instead continues to engage in an activity determined to be a violation, then the ZEO is free to take further enforcement action.

<u>Step 5: Judicial Enforcement</u> – While the ZEO is always empowered to seek criminal enforcement in the first instance, it may be his/her practice to undertake either informal or formal administrative action first. Nonetheless, where administrative action does not succeed in causing the owner to abate a zoning violation, then stronger enforcement methods can, and should, be used. The statutes provide for the imposition of both criminal and civil penalties, or sanctions that require abatement or removal of the violation.

<u>Criminal Proceedings</u> – Enforcement actions can be taken to the local criminal court, which can, under specific guidelines set by state law (or by a superseding local law), impose fines or imprisonment.²⁰ In New York State, zoning offenses are deemed misdemeanors for the purpose of conferring jurisdiction on the courts.

Although zoning offenses are deemed misdemeanors for jurisdictional purposes, they do not necessarily confer all the protections of misdemeanors if the imprisonment provisions are low enough to qualify for treatment as a *violation*.²¹ The principal distinctions between treatment as a violation as opposed to treatment as a misdemeanor, are that for a violation the accused is not entitled to a jury trial or the appointment of an assigned attorney if unable to afford one of his/her own. Where imprisonment could exceed more than fifteen days, then the offense is instead considered a misdemeanor, and the aforementioned protections apply.²²

To institute criminal proceedings against an accused, the ZEO must file an Information and Complaint (almost always accompanied by a Supporting Deposition) with the local justice court.²³ The Information and Complaint contains several parts. The first is an accusatory part that states the date, time, and place of the violation and the section of the zoning law that is at issue. Another part is factual; it outlines in detail the facts the ZEO relies on for establishing the violation. Lastly there is the attestation part, in which the ZEO affirms the information under penalties of perjury or swears to it before a notary.

The Supporting Deposition is meant to add more detail to what is in the Information and Complaint. Where a ZEO has not observed certain aspects of the situation, a Supporting Deposition should be taken from all persons with direct knowledge. The Supporting Deposition should be attached to the Information. Frequently, photographs or other documentary evidence may also be important and may be attached. The Information and Complaint must, however, on its face, contain each and every element of what must be proven to establish the violation. To assist the ZEO in determining what these elements are, we recommend the use of a Proof Chart (see Appendix 4). Once the ZEO compiles the Information and Complaint and Supporting Deposition and files them with the justice court, the local justice will then issue a Summons. A Summons is served by a police officer and requires the defendant to appear in court on a specified date.²⁴

To streamline and perhaps shorten this procedure, we recommend the alternative use of the Appearance Ticket (see Appendix 11). The Appearance Ticket must be authorized in law by the legislative body for the ZEO to use it.²⁵ It is similar to a traffic citation in that it is issued by the enforcement officer directly to the defendant, without any documents having to be filed first with the court. It informs the accused of the section of law s/he is believed to have violated, and sets forth the court appearance date; it thereby takes the place of a Summons.²⁶ The ZEO can issue an Appearance Ticket to an alleged violator immediately, and then file the Information and Complaint and Supporting Deposition with the local court. Criminal proceedings require, of course, that the municipality prove the violation "beyond a reasonable doubt". The ZEO and the municipality should be prepared to provide such proof in the justice court.

The Town Law provides that each week that a violation continues constitutes a new and separate offense.²⁷ This raises the possibility of imposing successive (and escalating) fines imposed for each week that a violation continues. Even so, the municipality must follow due process: it must serve the defendant with a new Information and Supporting Deposition, and Criminal Summons (or Appearance Ticket) for each week that it seeks a conviction. This will require repeated inspections of the property to assure that the violation still exists. It is possible to list more than one violation in the same Information. For example, if a ZEO has been to the property on four or five occasions over a period of two months, trying to obtain voluntary compliance, and is now seeking court action because such voluntary compliance was not forthcoming, then all four incidents may be separately listed as separate counts within one Information. The ability to get successive fines is often a valuable deterrent in obtaining voluntary compliance after the start of a court action. Further, because of the high cost of going to court on such violations, local justices can help to offset some of this expense to a municipality by imposing a high fine.

Because zoning law violations are treated as misdemeanors for jurisdictional purposes, it is the district attorney who has the primary responsibility for prosecution.²⁸ But district attorneys do not commonly become involved in local zoning code prosecutions. Accordingly, district attorneys will delegate that responsibility to village and town attorneys. This delegation should be confirmed in writing. Usually, the district attorney annually forwards a letter to the municipal attorney, containing a blanket authorization to prosecute municipal code violations. Once authorized by the district attorney to prosecute the zoning violation(s), the village or town attorney becomes an assistant district attorney for that specific purpose, and should immediately file an oath of office as such with the county clerk.²⁹

<u>Civil Proceedings</u> – State law provides ample authority by which a local government may bring proceedings to prevent, restrain, correct, or abate violations of zoning regulations.³⁰ Temporary restraining orders and injunctions are the vehicles used to accomplish this, and are often the final enforcement step taken by a local government.

A temporary restraining order is issued by a State court justice upon a showing that there is an activity going on, or a situation present, that may well cause imminent danger to life or property. Examples might be: allegedly illegal contamination of a public water supply, or storage of highly flammable material. Issuance of a restraining order requires application by the municipal attorney directly to the court, and is issued without a hearing. It remains in effect only so long as is necessary for the court to conduct a hearing on a preliminary injunction. At that hearing, the alleged violator is accorded an opportunity to show why a preliminary injunction should not be issued.

A preliminary injunction is a court order that either prevents someone from taking an action that will violate the zoning law, or orders someone to abate or correct an ongoing violation. The preliminary injunction provides temporary relief before a full trial can be held. No showing of imminent danger need be made. The court must, however, find that there is a reasonable chance that the municipality will succeed at the full trial, and that the alleged violator will not be unduly harmed by the injunction. A preliminary injunction can be issued to restrain enforcement of the zoning law or to suspend use or construction of a building.

Following a full trial on the merits of the case, the court may issue a permanent injunction against the violator. Unless appealed to a higher court, a permanent injunction abates the violation as a final matter. It either orders the violator permanently to cease the illegal activity, or orders that certain illegal construction be removed.³¹

As courts can impose jail sentences and heavy fines for the violation of court orders, the injunctive power often provides a significant weapon in cases of willful and determined violators. The local government has no power on its own to issue injunctions - they can only be issued by a State court. Thus the municipal attorney should always be called in to institute the proper action.

CONCLUSION

Effective zoning enforcement prevents or corrects violations of the zoning law, and therefore effectuates zoning's basic purposes: to protect the general health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the municipality. The zoning law is only as good as its enforcement; without proper enforcement, zoning consists merely of words on paper. Great care should therefore be taken when drafting the enforcement section of the zoning law. The authority to enforce, and the procedures for doing so, should be clearly spelled out. The ZEO should be given very specific guidelines regarding her/his powers and duties, to avoid confusion and to promote greater consistency in enforcement.

When a violation comes to the attention of the ZEO, it is critical that the enforcement process begin. In many cases, the violation results from an oversight on the landowner's part, and all that is needed is informal contact or a notice of violation. If not, the enforcement procedure provides for other administrative and judicial remedies to enforce compliance.

Written documentation and good record-keeping are also critical elements of the enforcement process. The ZEO best accomplishes this by using standardized forms that the legislative body adopts. Many sample forms are included for guidance, in the Appendix of this publication.

Appendix 1--Complaint Form

Tax Parcel Number:	Section:	Block:	Lot: _	
				Log No.:

CO	OMPLAINT OF VIOLA	TION	
Form of Complaint:	□ Letter (attach)		
Complainant:			
Address:			
Phone:			
Site Location:			
Property Owner:			
Nature of Complaint:			
ACTION BY ENFORCEMEN	T OFFICER:		
Possible violation of Article	, Section	, Subsection	of the
	(name of the law))	
Site Inspection completed on	(date) at(time)_	[AM/PM]	
Report of Findings:			
Action taken:			
		Zoning Enforcement	Officer

Appendix 2—Enforcement Inspection Report Form

Tax Parcel Number:	Section:	Block:	Lot:
			Log No.:

ENFORCEMENT INSPECTION REPORT

Parcel			
Location:			
Address:			
Phone:			
Initiated by:			
□ Referral from Official	□ Unrelated Inspection	☐ Citizen Complaint	
□ Drive-by Inspection	□ Routine Enforcement Insp	pection	
Date of Inspection:			
Violation Type:			
□ Use	□ Building Condition	□ Setback	
□ Sign	□ Junk or trash	□Abandoned Auto	
□ Weeds	□ Housing		
□ None Observed	□ Other (Specify):		_
Describe Violation:			
			_
Photos Taken:	Date:		
Violation of Article	Section		
Informal Contact Results:			
D 111 () 42			
Deadline(s) set (if applicable)			
Date:			

Action to occur by a	eadine:			
Follow-up of Inform	al Contact:			
□ Case closed	□ Enforcement action check	klist begun:	(date)	
		Zoning Enfo	orcement Officer	

Appendix 3–Enforcement Checklist

Tax Parcel Number:	Section:	Block:	Lot:
			Log No.:

ENFORCEMENT ACTION CHECKLIST

	EN OROLIVIEN TO			
Owner:				
				_
				_
in Violation of Artic	e, Section, Sub	esection		
Action Recommend	ed by Inspector:			
□ Withhold Permits		□ Stop work order/ Ceas	se and desist order	
□ Information and C	Complaint filed with local justice			
□ Attorney contacte	ed to file for injunction	□ Appearance ticket issue	ed	
				=
Recommended Acti	on Approved:			
	Supervisor]	Date	
				_
Actions:				
Date	Action		Initials	
	No violation found/no action t	taken		
		anon		
	Matter adjusted informally			
	Notice of Apparent Violation	sent		
	Notice of Violation/Order to F	Remedy sent		

 Building Department notified to withhold permits	
 Stop Work/Cease and Desist Order issued & served	
 Information and Complaint filed with Local Justice	
 Appearance Ticket issued	
 Referred to Town/Village Attorney for Possible Injunction	

Appendix 4--Proof Chart Form

Tax Parcel Number: Section:	Block:	Lot:	
	Log No.:		
	PROOF CHA	RT	
Town/Village of :			
Name of Violator:			
Address of Violator:			
T. M. Number:			
Violation Alleged:			
Elements to Be Proven	Witnesses	Evidence	Problems
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			
6)			

Appendix 5--Initial Follow-up Letter of Citizen Complaint

	(date)
(name)	
(address)	
(city, state, zip code)	
(
Dear	
	initial processing of your complaint about a possible zoning violation It is our understanding that the alleged violation(description)
other land use regulations. The activity	does not indicate a violation of the applicable zoning regulations of that you described is permitted on that property. If the description not accurate, please notify this office and we will reevaluate the
you reported to us. Specifically, on <u>(</u> activity that you described. If this sit	mpleted a preliminary investigation and cannot confirm the facts that (<u>date of inspection</u>), our inspector found no evidence of the tuation recurs, or if you have additional information that might be Unless we hear further from you, this file will be closed.
covenants, are essentially private contro often control land use, building type, ar more restrictive than the zoning regular	be controlled by deed restrictions. Deed restrictions, or restrictive actual agreements that are a part of the land title. Deed restrictions and location, just as zoning does. In some cases, deed restrictions are tions. This municipality plays no part in the enforcement of private mish you with information on your rights
	tacted the property owner informally. At this time, it appears that the ate the violation in the near future. However, we will continue to s eliminated.
ceedings for the correction of any violation dentified as case number (case #)	inspected the property and has initiated normal administrative pro- lation. This matter has now become a formal enforcement action If you have questions about the status of this case, you may when we believe the matter has been resolved.
Thank you for contacting us.	Sincerely,
	Zoning Enforcement Officer

Appendix 6--Final Follow-up Letter of Citizen Complaint (date)

(name)	
(address)	
(city, state, zip code)	
Dear,	
On	contacted this office regarding a possible violation of zoning(violation address) The nclusion of the enforcement action begun as a result of your
(Select the appropriate paragraph to include in y	vour letter)
 □ We are pleased to inform you that the violation □ successful administrative enforcement □ the imposition of civil penalties. □ a court order for the abatement of the 	t action.
determined that we do not have a case that car frustration with this situation, but we hope that	envestigation, our office, in consultation with an attorney, has in be successfully prosecuted in court. We understand your at you can understand our reluctance to prosecute a case we regulations to determine whether those regulations should be
☐ We regret to inform you that we took this e would like to see a copy of the court order in this	nforcement action to court and were not successful. If you matter, please contact this office.
Thank you for contacting us regarding this matter	r.
	Sincerely,
	Zoning Enforcement Officer

Appendix 7A--Administrative Search Warrant Application

STATE OF	NEW	YYORK }				
	_	COURT }				
COUNTY	_	_ }				
		n Administrative I				
of		1	under the control	of	presently	
located at _						
in the		by the, Co	unty of			
		<i>by</i> the				
APPLICA	ATIO	N FOR ADMI	VISTRATIVE			
		WARRANT U				
INSLECT	ION	WARRANIC	MDEK IIIE			
т. п.	1.1	_				
		e				
Jus	tice of	`the		_		
1.						
		, duly a	authorized by the	2	to act herein	, applies for an
Administra	tive In	spection Warrant,	pursuant to the a	pplicable provisions o	f statute, for:	
□ ((a) E	Entry upon the abo	ve captioned pre	mises.		
□ (ъ) I	nspecting of such	nremises			
<u> </u>	0) 1	inspecting of such	premises.			
□ ((c) (Obtaining	of	materials	described	as
				·		
_ ((d) /	Aggagg to and the	onging of any ra	cords relating to such	promises and meteric	a1a
□ ((u) F	access to and the c	opying or any red	cords relating to such	premises and materia	118.
2. Upon in:	format	tion and belief, sai	d □ entry, □ acc	cess to and copying of	records, 🗆 inspecti	on,
				n on 🗆		
undertaken.						
2 Dames			ال سم	the law themain site	ماندنده المرائدة	41 41
3. Pursua	nt to			the law therein cite may enter		
or place for	the n		ic	may enter	and inspect any pro	perty, premise,
	_	_	al violation of that	t [local law/ordinance]	!,	
				hat [local law/ordinand		
	a	scertaining compl	iance or non-com	apliance with that [loca	al law/ordinance].	
Г 4			1 4 .	.1 1 1	C .1	
				uthorized representati		nove hoves been
				re a violation of tha erials from any person		
				any records relating		

course of inspection or obtaining of	materials shall b	e kept confid	lential.	
4. The People of the that the public has a valid interest i	thro	ugh the	land use and in effec	Board have established
land use laws and regulations of the not limited to:				
5. In order to safeguard the public enforcement of the land use must:	laws and reg	gulations o	f the	, the
6. Based on the statutory authorimaterials, □ access to and the copentry, □ inspection, □ obtaining of issuance of an Administrative Inspe	ying of required materials, o acce	records, and	l the public interest a	and necessity for said \square
7. Upon information and be	lief,	(Name)_		, in his capacity as
	of the		, in	the course of his duties
attempted to:				
the consent of				
by,				
, C	ounty of		_, State of New Yor	k. Permission to make
the inspection was denied by			in	the following manner:
8. Upon information and belief furtl	ner attempts to			
at this time would be to no avail ar	nd would result is	n a similar r	efusal by	
as described in paragraph 7 above.				

extent required by law, any information relating to the private concerns of persons which is obtained in the

9. Upon information and belief said _	will in the future continue to
refuse the statutory right of	to
• • •	less the court grants the Administrative Inspection Warrant requested
herein.	
10. The □ entry, □ inspection, □ o	obtaining of materials, access to and copying of required records
requested herein will be undertaken	during reasonable hours and the credentials of the employee or
employees of	undertaking the same will be exhibited to persons in
control ofloc	cated at in the State of New York. Such
□ entry, □ inspection, □ obtaining of	of materials, access to and copying of required records will be
undertaken by	or other agent of as soon as
practicable after the issuance of the wa	arrant herein requested but in no event later than ten (10) days after the
issuance of the Administrative Inspecti	ion Warrant and will be completed with reasonable promptness.
11. When the \Box entry, \Box inspection, \Box	obtaining of materials, □ access to and copying of required records has
been completed, a return shall be made	e to this court showing that it has been completed.
12. The authority in support of this a	application for the issuance of the Administrative Inspection Warrant
requested herein is based on Section 2	2-b of the Judiciary Law, the provisions of the
set forth herein, and the decisions in t	he cases of Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523, See v. Seattle,
387 U.S. 541, and Marshall v. Barlow,	, 436 U.S. 307.
STATE OF NEW YORK	}
COLDITIVOE	} ss.:
COUNTY OF	}}
	being duly sworn, deposes and says: I have read the foregoing
	rch Warrant and know the contents thereof to be true except as to the information and belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.
matters stated therein to be an eged on h	information and benefit, and as to those matters I beneve it to be true.
Sworn to before me this	
Sworn to before me this, 20_	·
NOTARY PUBLIC	

Appendix 7B--Administrative Search Warrant Affidavit

CC	T TD TT						
	,						
COUNTY OF							
In the Matter of an Administra							
of	under the						
control of							
in the							
in the	, County of						
by the							
AFFIDAVIT							
	(Name)			an official o	of the		
being duly sworn, deposes				01110144	_		
1. I am	of the _					. I have	been employed
by	_ to enforce	its land	use	regulations	and	my	responsibilities
include:							-
and rules and regulation unannounced periodic ins where violations of the	n and belief is beli	and based	eto, ita	re alleged to large	and include or h	reasona ding pl ave bee	able to conduct aces and points on committed.
and rules and regulation unannounced periodic ins where violations of the	n and belief is beli	and based	eto, ita	re alleged to large	and include or h	reasona ding pl ave bee	able to conduct aces and points on committed.
2. In order to ensure cred and rules and regulation unannounced periodic inswhere violations of the 3. Upon information of the of the 4. Upon information a	s promulgated p pections of the _ n and belief is beli	and based eved to have	on e comm	re alleged to la review itted a violar	and include or he of extion of	reasona ding pl ave bee evidence f Articl	able to conduct aces and points on committed. e before me, Section

•	es o have never been inspected o were last inspected
	routine inspection program for the purpose of ascertaining
8. On(date), I described in paragraphs 4 and 5 above.	attempted to conduct an inspection of the premises as
the inspection, and presented my credentials, consto	sisting of, Following this identification process, I was or collect the materials. The denial consisted of
	denial, I left without making the inspection or collecting
10. Upon information and belief, further attempt	to be to no avail and would result in a similar refusal as set
	ion for an Administrative Inspection Warrant in relation to set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 above and under the
Sworn to before me this day of, 20	
NOTARY PUBLIC	

Appendix 7C--Administrative Search Warrant

		NEW YORK }
COL	NTV O	COURT } F }
		f an Administrative Inspection
		under the
contro	ol of	presently
locate	d at	
in the		, County of
		RATIVE ON WARRANT
Index	x No.	
То:	Offic	ers and Employees Charged with Land Use Enforcement of the
Appli	ication h	aving made and administrative probable cause shown by,
		of the for:
	(a)	Entry upon the above captioned premises.
	(b)	Inspecting of such premises.
	(c)	Obtaining of materials described as .
	(d)	Access to and the copying of any records relating to such premises and materials.
		REFORE , pursuant to the provisions ofand
the de	ecisions	of the United States Supreme Court in Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523, See v. Seattle,
387 L	J.S. 541	, and Marshall v. Barlow, 436 U.S. 307, you are hereby authorized to:
	(a)	Enter upon any premises where a violation of the land use regulations of is alleged to have been committed.
	(b)	
	(c)	
	(d)	Have access to and copy any records relating to such premises and materials.
durin in no	g norma event la	\Box inspection, \Box obtaining of materials, \Box access to and copying of records shall be undertaken l business hours and as soon as practicable after the issuance of the warrant herein requested but atter than ten (10) days after the issuance of the Administrative Inspection Warrant and will be the reasonable promptness.
		authorized to \Box enter, \Box inspect, \Box obtain materials, \Box have access to and copy records shall state ourposes of
		e owner, operator, or agent in control of

Any person who refuses the execution of this Administrative Inspection Warrant or impedes the inspector in the execution of this warrant shall be advised that such refusal or action constitutes a violation of Section 195.05 of the Penal Law, and that if such person persists and the circumstances warrant, s/he shall be arrested and the inspection shall commence or continue.

A return shall be made to th	is court showing that	the inspection	has been completed	d and the date thereof.	
		_	,	Justice	of
	the		Court		
	Dated this	day of	, 20		

Appendix 7D--Administrative Search Warrant Return

STATE OF NEW YORK }							
COURT }							
COUNTY OF}							
In the Matter of an Administrative Inspection							
of under the							
control of presently							
in the, County of							
by the							
ADMINISTRATIVE INSPECTION WARRANT RETURN							
Index No.							
To: Honorable							
Justice of the		_					
Pursuant to the Administrative Inspection inspection as authorized in(date)	said by	warrant	was	made	and	completed	on
(title)	_	•					
Attached is a copy of the Inspection Repor	t dated					<u></u> ·	
STATE OF NEW YORK }COURT }							
COUNTY OF}							
		, being do	ıly swor	n, deposes	and say	ys that s/he has	read
the foregoing inspection warrant return su	bscribed	d by him/hei	; that s/h	ie knows t	he conte	ents thereof; and	d that
the same is true to his/her knowledge.							
Sworn to before me this							
day of, 20	,						
NOTARY PUBLIC	-						

Appendix 7E - Administrative Search Warrant Return - Directions for Filing

STATE OF NEW YORK	}						
COURT	}						
COUNTY OF							
In the Matter of an Administrative In							
ofcontrol of	presently						
located at	.1 5						
in the,	County of						
located at, in the,							
DIRECTIONS							
FOR FILING							
FORFILING							
Index No.							
The annexed return of th	e Administrative	Inspection	Warrant	issued	by me	over	date
of			has h	een retur	ned to me		
				cen retur	nea to me.		
I HEREBY DIRECT that the	original warrant the	annlication	for same	and the	return he	a filed i	n the
			TOT Same,	and the	, ictuiii oc	, ilica i	II tile
(City, Tow	n, Village) Clerk's o	office.					
Justice of the	Co	ourt					

Appendix 8--Letter of Violation

NOTICE OF APPARENT VIOLATION

(date)

(name)	
(address)	
(city, state, zip code)	
Dear,	
According to records of the Town of	Assessor, you are the owner of property
located at(violation address)	On <u>(inspection date)</u> , I observed
an apparent violation of the Zoning Law/Ordinance of violation)	on your property, specifically: (description
	of the Zoning Law, a copy of which is attached eliminate the violation or file plans with this office for e).
	Zoning Law, please contact this office to review the ampliance with the law, but you cannot meet the stated to work with you on a short extension.
•	arrangements with this office by <u>(date)</u> , we will NOT receive an additional warning before we begin
THIS IS THE ONLY LETTER YOU WILL RECI	EIVE. Your next communication from us will involve
	n call me at <u>(phone number)</u> . Because I am often etween <u>(time and days available)</u>
	Sincerely,
	Zoning Enforcement Officer

Appendix 9--Notice of Violation Form

|--|

NOTICE OF VIOLATION - ORDER TO REMEDY

(date)

(name)						
(address)						
(city, state, zip code)						
Dear		,				
You are hereby notified that	you have be	en found to	be in violation	of the Zoning	Law Article _	,
Section, Su	bsection	·	The	specific	violation	is:
as observed by the zoning enformation. The following corrective meas assessed:	ures should	be taken no l	ater than	(date)	•	nay be
For the purposes of applying t first violation shall be deemed	-				he Zoning Law	, your
Please Note: A violation of to	_					
determination.						
If you have questions, please c	ontact me.					
	Sincerely	,				
	Zoning E	nforcement C)fficer			

Appendix 10--Stop Work Order Form

Tax Parcel Number: Section:	Block:	Lot:	
		Log No.:	
T	own of County, I Zoning Law	New York	
Sto	p Work O	rder	
	ICE that there exists a violat	ion of Article, Section,	
Page of the	Zoning Law (or line out "	zoning law" and substitute other law or	
ordinance as applicable) at the follow			
Tax Map Number:	in that:	(state character of violation)	
Director	You are hereby	ton Work	
	Directed and Ordered to Stop Work, comply with the law, and to remedy the conditions above mentioned		
1 0	before(date)		
Failure to remedy the condition a may constitute an offense punish		with the applicable provisions of law nt, or both.	
Date cc: Town Clerk	Zoning	Enforcement Officer	

Appendix 11--Appearance Ticket Form

VIOLATION APPEARANCE TICKET

Defendant Name		
Address		
TelephoneDate		
You are hereby notified that you are charged with violating the Law/Ordinance of the [Town/Village] of the indicated below. A conviction on this charge could result in a maximum.		
fine not to exceed		
Name of Law:		
Article:Section:		
Adopted		
In that on the day of, 20, at o'clock in the		
[fore/after] noon, at		
did		
I hereby affirm the above to be true to the best of my knowledge Signature, Zoning Enforcement Officer		
You are hereby directed to appear in the [Village/Town] Court on the of		
20, at o'clock [AM/PM] at		
YOUR FAILURE TO APPEAR COULD RESULT IN A WARRANT BEING ISSUED FOR YOU ARREST.		
NOTICE: YOU ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE A SUPPORTING DEPOSITION FURTHE		
EXPLAINING THE CHARGES, PROVIDED YOU REQUEST SUCH SUPPORTING DEPOSITION		
WITHIN THIRTY DAYS FROM THE DATE YOU ARE DIRECTED TO APPEAR IN COURT A		
SET FORTH ON THIS APPEARANCE TICKET. DO YOU REQUEST A SUPPORTING		
DEPOSITION?		
Receipt Acknowledged: Defendant		

Appendix 12—Criminal Summons

STATE OF NEW YORK }	
}	SS.:
COUNTY OF}	
CITY/TOWN/VILLAGE OFCO	URT}
THE TOWN/VILLAGE OF	
Plaintiff,	
Against	
Defendant	
S	SUMMONS
In the name of the (City/Town/Village) of	:
To::	
AN ACCUSATORY instrument, namely a V	iolation Code Appearance Ticket having been filed with the
above court on theday of	_20, by,
Stating that you did commit the following offer City/Town/Village of	ense: Violation of (code section or title of local law) of the
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to appear	before this court at (address)
On theday of20, at _instrument.	(A.M./P.M.) in connection with the said accusatory
AND UPON YOUR FAILURE to appear at	the time and place herein mentioned a WARRANT may be
issued for your arrest for the offense charged.	
Dated:20	
, Ne	W YORK
	Justice of the (City/Town/Village) Court
	Justice of the (City/Town/Village) Court

Appendix 13--Information and Complaint Form

INFORMATION AND COMPLAINT

[Village/Town] Court of the [Village/Town]	own] of	, County of
The People of the State of New York		
against		
(D. C. J. ()		
(Defendant)	1	
STATE OF NEW YORK	}	
COLUMNIA	} SS.:	
COUNTY OF		
	FIRST COUNT	
The complainant,		
, County of _		
_	_	ofand make
this information based upon personal kn	-	
		(name)
did commit a violation of the [Town V		
in that at that date and time at	(location)	, in the
[Town/Village] of	, defendant did	
3. Upon information and belief, above in the [Town/Village] of described above.	_	r/tenant] of the property referenced was responsible for the conditions
	violation [ia/ia not] a continuina	violation and has been absorved or
_	_	violation and has been observed or
reported on the following other dates ar		
5. Defendant has failed to abate on so:		despite the following requests to do
		Copies of all written requests are
annexed.		
WHEREFORE, your deponent requests	s that the court take action against	t defendant as allowed by law.
Sworn to before me this		
day of20		
Notary Public		

Endnotes

¹ General City Law §27; Town Law §271; Village Law §7-718.

² Town L. §266; Vil. L. §7-710.

³ Gen. City L. §81; Town L. §267; Vil. L. §7-712.

⁴ Town L. §64; Vil. L. §4-412. For procedures in cities, the reader should consult individual city charters.

⁵ Gen. City L. §§27-a, 27-b; Town L. §§274-a, 274-b; Vil. L. §7-725-a, 7-725-b.

⁶ Gen. City L. §32; Town L. §276; Vil. L. §7-728.

⁷ Gen. City L. §81-a(4); Town L. §267-a(4); Vil. L. §7-712-a(4).

⁸ Bower Associates v. Town of Pleasant Valley, 2 N.Y.3d 617 (2004).

⁹ See endnote 3.

¹⁰ See endnote 7.

¹¹ Gen. City L. §27(14); Town L. § 271(14); Vil. L. §7-718(14).

¹² Gen. City L. §28-a; Town L. §272-a; Vil. L. §7-722.

¹³ See endnote 2.

¹⁴ See Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523 (1967); See v. City of Seattle, 387 US 541 (1967).

¹⁵ Gen. City L. §81-a(5)(b); Town L. §267-a(5)(b); Vil. L. §7-712-a(5)(b).

¹⁶ See *Knight v. Amelkin*, 68 N.Y.2d 975 (1986).

¹⁷ Gen. City L. §81-b(1); Town L. §267(1); Vil. L. §7-712(1).

¹⁸ Gen. City L. §81-a(6); Town L. §267-a(6); Vil. L. §7-712-a(6).

¹⁹ Town L. §264; Vil. L. §7-706. For procedures in cities, the reader should consult individual city charters.

²⁰ Mun. Home Rule L. §10(4)(b); Town Law §268(1); Op. Atty. Gen. (Inf.) No. 2005-18.

²¹ A "violation" is "an offense, other than a 'traffic infraction', for which a sentence to a term of imprisonment in excess of fifteen days cannot be imposed." Penal L. §10.00(3).

²² A "misdemeanor" is "an offense, other than a 'traffic infraction', for which a sentence to a term of imprisonment in excess of fifteen days may be imposed, but for which a sentence to a term of imprisonment in excess of one year cannot be imposed." Penal L. §10.00(4).

²³ See, generally, Crim. Proc. L., Art. 100.

²⁴ Crim. Proc. L. §110.10 and , generally, Art. 130.

²⁵ Mun. Home Rule L. §10(4)(b).

²⁶ Crim. Proc. L. §110.10 and, generally, Art. 150.

²⁷ Town L. §268.

²⁸ County L. §700(1); Peo. v. Van Sickle, 13 N.Y.2d 61 (1963).

²⁹ Ops. Atty. Gen. (Inf.) Nos. 90-8, 97-52.

³⁰ Mun. Home Rule L. §10(4)(b); Town Law §268(2); Vil. L. §7-714.

³¹ For information regarding the powers of courts to issue orders and injunctions, see, generally, Const., Art. 6§7; City Court Act §1508; *Youngs v. Carter*, 10 Hun. 194; *Matter of Schneider v. Aulisi*, 307 N.Y. 376 (1954).